

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

	5126		
1,550	MINER		
Pohort C Colling Esq.			
P.O. Box 4390	KRISHNAMURTHY, RAMESH		
Troy, MI 48099 ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
3753			

DATE MAILED: 04/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/643,70	5	MEYER, DAVID L.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
			rishnamurthy	3753			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by teply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no everon. ion. is, a reply within the statu period will apply and will statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tir tory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered time the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.		
Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	17 February 200	5				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	<u>'</u>						
٠,ڪ	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	 ✓ Claim(s) 1 - 14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11 - 14 is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ✓ Claim(s) 1 & 6 - 10 is/are rejected. 						
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on 19 August 2003 is Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the other oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a)⊠ accept to the drawing(s) b ∞rrection is require	e held in abeyance. Se ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bee the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been ments have been priority docume Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicat nts have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	l Stage		
Attachmen	• •		4 \□ 4	(DTO 442)			
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	18)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) 🛛 Infori	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date <u>08/03</u> .		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		O-152)		

Art Unit: 3753

This office action is responsive to communications filed 02/17/2005.

Claims 1 – 14 are pending.

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1 10, drawn to a method of assembling a check valve, classified in class 137, subclass 15.18.
 - II. Claims 11 14, drawn to a spring biased check valve, classified in class 137, subclass 539.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the check valve can be made by a different process such as molding/casting the tube in a desired manner.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with attorney Robert Collins on 03/14/2005 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of method of assembling a check valve, claims 1 10. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 11 14 are withdrawn from further

Art Unit: 3753

consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 6. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 7. Claim 9 recites the limitation "said valve spring" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 1 and 6 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hiranuma et al. (US 5,582,204).

Hiranuma et al. discloses (Fig. 4) a check valve having an expanded end portion with a valve seat at (25) formed where the expanded end portion joins the remainder of the tube, a valve element (22) is placed on the seat and is biased by a spring (23) positioned within a cavity formed by deforming the end portion. The diameter of the cavity i.e. expanded section so formed is approximately 25% greater than the nominal diameter of the starting tube material.

It is noted that the embodiment in Fig. 4 of Hiranuma et al. necessarily performs the method recited in claims 1 and 6 – 9 in its usual and normal operation.

- 10. Claims 2 5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 11. Claim 10 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramesh Krishnamurthy whose telephone number is (571) 272 – 4914. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 10:00 AM to 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene L. Mancene, can be reached on (571) 272 - 4930. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872 - 9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308 - 0861.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

Application/Control Number: 10/643,705

Art Unit: 3753

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Page 5

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ramesh Krishnamurthy, Ph.D., PE

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3753